

# A study of the chemical components which characterize Spanish saucisson

M. J. Beriain, M. P. Peña & J. Bello\*

Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Navarre, 31080 Pamplona, Spain

(Received 20 May 1992; revised version received 21 October 1992; accepted 10 November 1992)

A chemical study was carried out on eight brands of saucisson—a dry-cured Spanish sausage. Chemical composition was determined (moisture, protein, fat, ash, hydroxyproline, chloride, phosphorus) together with some parameters related to the curing process (pH, water activity, *lactobacillus* and Micrococcaceae counts).

Colour, measured by the formation of nitrous pigments, showed some variability, with Chemical Conversion Indexes between 66 and 79%. The sausages with higher Micrococcaceae content had better colour development. Likewise, low levels of these microorganisms are linked to higher pH, lower lipolysis (acidity value) and a larger amount of residual nitrate.

The parameters related to the possible effects of the curing process on proteins and lipids were also determined. Proteins undergo variable proteolysis depending on brand, as can be seen from the non protein N and total free amino acids contents. Protein fractions undergo changes in solubility, thereby accentuating the differences in sarcoplasmic fraction (18.4-22.7%) and in the denatured protein fraction (30.3-37.1%).

Fatty components undergo lipolysis (measured by acidity value) and oxidation (evaluated by the peroxide value, carbonyl compounds index and TBA value), with considerable variations between brands.

A lower moisture content was observed in the products having lower values for protein, water activity, proteolysis and unsaturated fatty acids and higher values were present for fat, protein denaturation and indexes of fat oxidation. These trends were inverted in sausages with the highest moisture content.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Saucisson is a dry-cured sausage whose consumption in Spain is clearly rising; indeed, current per capita consumption is almost 19 kg per year. Nevertheless, studies on the chemical composition of the commerciallysold product are not available, and the information found in the literature on this kind of Spanish sausage only refers to products from pilot plants with partial aspects of the curing process being outlined (León-Crespo & Millán, 1977, 1978; León-Crespo et al., 1978; Garriga et al., 1988a,b; Astiasarán et al., 1990a). These studies show how the curing process results in a series of changes for proteins, carbohydrates and lipids, which are reflected in the organoleptic properties of the finished product. Furthermore, the diversity and heterogeneity of the raw materials used in the meat industry for sausage production can also be considered as factors which influence the curing process and, by extension, the chemical composition of sausages sold on the market.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Food Chemistry 0308-8146/93/\$06.00 © 1993 Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd, England. Printed in Great Britain

We have, therefore, carried out a study on the chemical composition of different brands of Spanish saucisson, which can serve as a point of reference for a better interpretation of its characteristics.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight different brands of Spanish saucisson were obtained with four samples form each company being analysed. The parameters of each sample were determined in four replicates. The data shown in the tables are the average values together with their corresponding standard error.

The eight brands purchased were prepared using different recipes and under different conditions from one company to another. Generally, the ingredients used were as follows: lean pork, lean beef, pork back fat, common salt, sugar, nitrate, nitrite, polyphosphates (sodium pyrophosphate and potassium metaphosphate), sodium ascorbate and black pepper. Frozen pieces of pork and beef are then mixed with polyphosphates, nitrite salts and nitrates, and cut in the cutter. Frozen pork fat, previously minced in the cutter, is then added. The mixture is vacuum mixed, stuffed in a 60 mm diameter sausage natural casing and subjected to fermentation for 3 days, followed by 3 weeks' drying under different temperature and relative humidity (RH) conditions depending on the brands.

Before analysis the casing on each sausage was removed and samples homogeneously minced at 2°C. This procedure was repeated as often as necessary to obtain a representative sample.

## Analytical methods

#### Chemical composition

A.1 Moisture was determined using the International Standard ISO 1442-1973 (ISO, 1973*a*).

A.2 Total protein was analysed using the Kjeldahl method for nitrogen determination.

A.3 Hydroxyproline, expressed as a percentage of hydroxyproline, was determined according to the Official Standard Methods procedure under Spanish Food Legislation (Presidencia del Gobierno, 1979*a*,*b*, 1982).

A.4 Total fat was determined as follows: (a) quantitative determination using the Tecator apparatus (RAFATEC-II 1050 Extractor) according to International Standard ISO 1443-1973 (ISO, 1973b); (b) fat extraction using the Bligh & Dyer method (1959) to obtain a suitable amount of fat for further analysis.

A.5 Total and soluble sugar were determined using the Fehling method.

A.6 Ash was analysed according to the Official Standard Methods procedure under Spanish Food Legislation (Presidencia del Gobierno, 1979*a*,*b*, 1982).

A.7 Sodium chloride content, expressed as NaCl percentage, was determined according to the AOAC procedure (AOAC, 1984).

A.8 Phosphorus was determined using the Official Standard Methods procedure under Spanish Food Legislation (Presidencia del Gobierno, 1979*a*,*b*, 1982).

A.9 The pH was determined with the Orion Research potentiometer for solid samples using the International Standard ISO R 2917-1974 (ISO, 1974).

A.10 Water activity was measured using a Novasina Model 5803 water activity meter. The apparatus was calibrated with a barium chloride solution.

# **B** Microbiological parameters

B.1 Lactobacillus counts were obtained using an MRS agar (Oxoid).

B.2 Micrococcaceae count was determined using a culture medium composed of agar, pectone, sodium citrate, sodium chloride, potassium nitrate, dextrose and yeast.

## C Colour parameters

Total pigments were obtained by the Hornsey method (1956) and Nitrosopigments using the same analysis modified in a later paper (Gorospe *et al.*, 1986). The chemical conversion index is the percentage of nitroso-

pigments in the products in relation to total pigments, and represents the amount of nitrosation resulting from the curing process. Nitrate and nitrite levels were determined according to the methods of Volff *et al.* (1974) and Nicholas & Nason (1957), respectively.

# D Protein fractions

The following nitrogen fractions were determined: sarcoplasmic protein N, myofibrillar protein N, nonprotein N, soluble N in 0.1N NaOH and total N, according to the methods described by Bello et al. (1974), who adapted the method described earlier by Helander (1957) in the study of the proteins of muscular tissues. This method involves extraction of samples with buffers of different ionic strengths for the sarcoplasmic (0.08 and pH = 7.4) and myofibrillar (0.1 and pH = 7.4) fractions, with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) for the non-protein N and NaOH for the insoluble protein. The protein insolubility index was calculated as a ratio of insoluble protein to total protein as a percentage. The solubility of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein was calculated as the percentage of total protein from these data.

The determination of total free  $\alpha$ -NH<sub>2</sub>—N was analysed using the Rosen method (1957), with leucine as the standard.

## E Surface activity

The activity emulsion index of solubilized meat proteins was determined according to the Pearce & Kinsella method (1978).

#### F Parameters related to fat stability

F.1 Iodine value was determined using International Standard ISO 3961-1979 (ISO, 1979).

F.2 Acidity value was determined using International Standard ISO 1740-1980 (ISO, 1980).

F.3 Peroxide value was determined using International Standard ISO 3960-1977 (ISO, 1977).

F.4 Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) value was determined using the Tarladgis *et al.* (1960, 1964) distillation method, complementing it with suitable modifications as suggested by the authors. Spectrophotometric measurements were carried out with the Perkin-Elmer Model Lambda-5 UV/VIS spectrophotometer.

F.5 Carbonyl compounds index, expressed as  $\mu$ moles C=O per g of fat, was determined by the procedure of Henick *et al.* (1954).

## G Free fatty acids composition

G.1 Free fatty acids in the lipid fraction were separated by thin layer Chromatography (TLC). The extracted fat was applied in drop form on plates covered with silica gel G and chromatographed using *n*-hexane/diethyl ether/formic acid (80:20:2; v:v:v) as a solvent, and were identified on spraying with a 0.1% solution of 2',7'-fluorescein dichloride in 95% methanol. Finally, they were scraped, dissolved in *n*-hexane, filtered and methylated for identification.

Table 1. Chemical composition of eight brands of saucissons\*

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Moisture (%)	35·7±0·16	$33.9^a \pm 0.16$	$33 \cdot 4^a \pm 0 \cdot 16$	31·7±0·13	$38\cdot4^b\pm0\cdot16$	$38 \cdot 9^b \pm 0 \cdot 13$	$40.0^{\circ} \pm 0.23$	$39.6^{\circ} \pm 0.25$
Total protein	$29 \cdot 3^a \pm 0 \cdot 36$	$27 \cdot 4^b \pm 0 \cdot 16$	$29{\cdot}4^a\pm0{\cdot}66$	$27 \cdot 8^b \pm 0 \cdot 59$	$28 \cdot 7^c \pm 0 \cdot 47$	$29 \cdot 3^a \pm 0 \cdot 58$	$28 \cdot 8^c \pm 0 \cdot 33$	$27 \cdot 3^b \pm 0 \cdot 72$
Hydroxyproline (%)	$0.4^a \pm 0.01$	$0.4^a \pm 0.01$	$0.5^b \pm 0.01$	$0.5^b \pm 0.03$	$0.4^a \pm 0.02$	$0.4^a \pm 0.04$	$0.6^{\circ} \pm 0.01$	$0.6^{\circ} \pm 0.03$
Total fat (%)	$49{\cdot}8^a\pm0{\cdot}70$	$50.6^a \pm 0.71$	$60.4^{b} \pm 1.59$	$60.9^b \pm 0.76$	$54 \cdot 3^c \pm 0.32$	$54 \cdot 4^c \pm 0 \cdot 77$	$50.2^a \pm 0.95$	$51 \cdot 6^a \pm 0 \cdot 79$
Total sugar (%)	$10.8^a \pm 0.35$	$10.8^{a}\pm0.16$	$10.1^{a} \pm 0.42$	$10.0^a \pm 0.30$	$9.0^b \pm 0.43$	$9.5^b \pm 0.57$	$10.5^a \pm 0.55$	$10.7^{a}\pm0.92$
Soluble sugar (%)	$2 \cdot 3^a \pm 0 \cdot 13$	$2 \cdot 2^a \pm 0 \cdot 06$	$2 \cdot 2^a \pm 0.04$	$2 \cdot 5^b \pm 0.04$	$3 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 06$	$2 \cdot 5^b \pm 0 \cdot 02$	$2 \cdot 4^b \pm 0 \cdot 10$	$2 \cdot 5^b \pm 0 \cdot 06$
Ash (%)	$8 \cdot 2^a \pm 0.05$	$8\cdot 2^a \pm 0.03$	$8 \cdot 3^a \pm 0.04$	$8 \cdot 0^a \pm 0 \cdot 06$	$8 \cdot 0^a \pm 0 \cdot 05$	$8 \cdot 0^a \pm 0 \cdot 07$	$8.7^a \pm 0.04$	$8.0\pm0.04$
NaCl (%)	$7.9^{b} \pm 0.14$	$7 \cdot 5^{a,b} \pm 0 \cdot 13$	$7 \cdot 3^{a,b} \pm 0 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 5^{a,b} \pm 0 \cdot 10$	$6 \cdot 1^a \pm 0 \cdot 02$	$6 \cdot 1^a \pm 0 \cdot 03$	$8.0^b \pm 0.47$	$7 \cdot 5^{a,b} \pm 0 \cdot 38$
Phosphorus (ppm $P_2O_5$ )	$106 \pm 0.44$	$103 \cdot 2^a \pm 0 \cdot 34$	$93.5^b \pm 0.65$	$91 \cdot 3^b \pm 0 \cdot 25$	$92 \cdot 8^b \pm 0 \cdot 13$	$100^a \pm 0.38$	$104^a \pm 0.25$	$103^a \pm 0.25$

All values refer to dry samples

G.2 Identification and quantification of fatty acid methyl esters was done by gas chromatography (GC) according to International Standard ISO 5508-1978 (ISO, 1978*a*) and ISO 5509-1978 (ISO, 1978*b*). Methyl esters were analysed using a Perkin-Elmer Chromatograph (Sigma-300/Dual FID Model) with a Sigma-15 Chromatograph Station programmer using a stainless steel column ( $2 \text{ m} \times 1.8^{11}$ ) coated with 15% DEGS on Chromosorb W-AS 80/100 under the following conditions: (a) carrier gas: nitrogen at 20 ml/min; (b) oven temperature: 185°C (isothermic); (c) detector temperature: 240°C; (d) injector temperature: 250°C. Methyl ester standards of fatty acids (myristic, palmitic, palmitoleic, stearic, oleic, linoleic, linolenic, arachidic and arachidonic acids) were obtained from Sigma Chemical and dissolved in *n*-hexane.

## H Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance and a multiple-comparison test of Tukey at 0.01% of level of significance were applied to the data to see if significant differences existed between the different brands of saucisson.

Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to the free fatty acid composition data to see if there were any associations between the different parameters.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the analysed saucissons. Moisture varied from 31.7% in Brand 4 to 40.0% in Brand 7. The main components of saucisson are protein (27.3-29.4%) and fat (49.8-60.9%), with these substances providing the basis for the development of the organoleptic characteristics of the sausage during fermentation begun by the microorganisms present (Mottram & Edwards, 1983).

The other chemical composition parameters of saucission vary among the different brands: hydroxyproline (0.4–0.6%), total sugar (9.0–10.8%), soluble sugar (2.2–3.1%), ash (8.0–8.7%), NaCl (6.1–8.0%), and phosphorus (91.3–106.2 ppm  $P_2O_5$ ).

All the samples were within the requirements laid down by Spanish Food Legislation (Presidencia del Gobierno, 1980).

Table 2 shows pH and water activity values and fermented microorganism counts (*Lactobacillus* and Micrococcaceae). The pH values found  $(5\cdot02-5\cdot28)$  can be considered normal for cured sausage (Kramlich *et al.*, 1973). The combination of the acidic pH values and low water activity ( $0\cdot860-0\cdot895$ ) inhibited the development

Table 2. Parameters related to drying-fermentation process of eight brands of saucissons\*

				-	-			
Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
pН	$5.10^{a} \pm 0.04$	$5.07^a \pm 0.04$	$5.02^a \pm 0.05$	$5.09^{a} \pm 0.05$	$5.28^{b} \pm 0.02$	$5.27^b \pm 0.01$	$5.19^{\circ} \pm 0.02$	$5 \cdot 20^c \pm 0 \cdot 02$
Water activity	$0.870^a \pm 0.001$	$0.866^{a} \pm 0.002$	$0.865^a \pm 0.002$	$0.860^a \pm 0.005$	$0.891^b \pm 0.002$	$0.893^{b} \pm 0.002$	$0.894^{b} \pm 0.004$	$0.895^b \pm 0.003$
Lactobacillus counts (cfu)	$2.4 \times 10^{6}$	$2.5 \times 10^{6}$	$2.8 \times 10^{6}$	2·7×10 <sup>6</sup>	$3.0 \times 10^{6}$	$3.5 \times 10^{6}$	$2.3 \times 10^{6}$	1.9×10 <sup>6</sup>
Micrococcaceae counts (cfu)	$1.9 \times 10^{3}$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.2 \times 10^3$	$3.3 \times 10^{3}$	$0.5 \times 10^{3}$	$0.6 \times 10^{3}$	$1.8 \times 10^{3}$	$1.3 \times 10^{3}$

\*Any two means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p > 0.01.

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Total pigments (ppm haematin)	$91 \cdot 2^a \pm 2 \cdot 3$	$92 \cdot 0^a \pm 1 \cdot 2$	$89.4^{a}\pm2.4$	$90.1^a \pm 2.6$	$80\cdot3^b\pm4\cdot3$	$80.6^b \pm 1.8$	$83 \cdot 2^c \pm 2 \cdot 9$	$85 \cdot 4^c \pm 1 \cdot 5$
Nitrosopigments (ppm haematin)	$60.4^a \pm 4.1$	$63 \cdot 1^a \pm 3 \cdot 2$	$70.6^b \pm 4.1$	$68 \cdot 7^b \pm 5 \cdot 1$	53·4 <sup>c</sup> ±4·9	$52 \cdot 6^c \pm 2 \cdot 9$	$60 \cdot 3^a \pm 1 \cdot 7$	$60.5^a \pm 2.2$
Chemical conversion index (%)	$66 \cdot 2^a \pm 1 \cdot 7$	68.6±2.7	79·0±1·7	$76 \cdot 2 \pm 2 \cdot 0$	$66 \cdot 5^a \pm 1 \cdot 1$	$65 \cdot 3^a \pm 1 \cdot 6$	$72 \cdot 5^b \pm 1 \cdot 7$	$70.8^b \pm 1.5$
Nitrates (ppm KNO <sub>3</sub> )	$22 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1$	$17.8 \pm 0.0$	$38 \cdot 1^a \pm 0 \cdot 2$	$38.4^{a}\pm0.2$	$74 \cdot 5^b \pm 0 \cdot 8$	$73 \cdot 6^b \pm 0 \cdot 3$	$11.6^{\circ} \pm 0.1$	$10.4^{\circ}\pm0.1$
Nitrites <sup>d</sup> (ppm NaNO <sub>2</sub> )	Tr							

Table 3. Colour parameters of eight brands of saucissons\*

<sup>d</sup> Tr: Trace amounts, less than 10 ppm, NaNO<sub>2</sub>.

of pathogenic microorganisms (Bacus & Brown, 1981), but enabled the fermentative microorganisms to develop correctly, which were selected for their sodium chloride tolerance (Table 1). Brands 1 to 4 have the highest acidity, the lowest available water and the best Micrococcaceae development  $(1.9 \times 10^3)$ - $3.3 \times 10^3$  cfu). Lactobacilli were the predominant fermentative microorganisms  $(1.9 \times 10^6$ - $3.5 \times 10^6$  cfu).

Table 3 shows the colour parameters. There were only trace amounts of nitrite (<10 ppm NaNO<sub>2</sub>) in all samples, because they are destroyed when the pH becomes acid. The nitrate content was found to be highly variable (10.4-74.5 ppm KNO<sub>3</sub>). Santamaria et al. (1992) demonstrated the casual formation of nitrates from nitrites during the curing of sausage. The nitrosopigments and chemical conversion index values of Brands 3 and 4 were the highest (nitrosopigments: 70.6 and 68.7 ppm haematin; chemical conversion index: 79.0 and 76.2%, respectively). These brands had the highest Micrococcaceae counts (Table 2), which are mainly responsible for the colour development of cured sausage under suitable environmental conditions of pH and redox potential (Pan & Solberg, 1972). León-Crespo & Millán (1978a) obtained lower colour parameter values for saucissons produced in a pilot plant than for the brands used in this study.

In addition to colour, the sausage samples show some differences in texture, flavour and aroma This is explained by the role that proteins and fats may have played during the curing process. Meat proteins are considered to be the chemical components which determine the firmness and appearance of the product (Hermansson *et al.*, 1986). The joint action of salt, lactic acid (from bacterial fermentation) and drying has an effect on the properties of meat proteins, favouring the formation of a gel in the fermented mix (Whiting, 1988).

Table 4 shows the sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar N values, plus the parameters linked to proteolysis in sausage curing: non-protein N and total free amino acids. A higher sarcoplasmic N content (8.51-10.44 mg N/g) than myofibrillar N (7.45-7.98 mg N/g) was observed, with minor but significant differences (p < p0.01) between samples. The lower myofibrillar N value shows that, when the pH is less than 5.4, the myofibrillar proteins are converted to non-protein N through the enzymatic action of the microorganisms (Klement & Cassens, 1974). The data found on proteolysis (nonprotein N and total free amino acids) are similar to the observations of Garriga et al. (1988a,b), but higher than those described by León-Crespo & Millán (1978) for other Spanish saucissons. The highest non-protein N and total free amino acids values were found in Brands 7 and 8, these saucissons having the highest water activity and pH values (Table 2).

The curing process may lead to changes in the func-

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Sarcoplasmic N (mg N/g)	$8.65^a \pm 0.36$	8.60 <sup>a</sup> ±0.27	$9.14^b \pm 0.29$	$8.57^a \pm 0.21$	$10.44^{\circ} \pm 0.17$	$10.07^{\circ} \pm 0.49$	8.51 <sup>a</sup> ±0.25	$9.02^b \pm 0.17$
Myofibrillar N (mg N/g)	$7 \cdot 80^a \pm 0 \cdot 18$	$7.89^a \pm 0.05$	$7.45^b \pm 0.12$	$7.46^b \pm 0.20$	$7.98\pm0.09$	$7.54 \pm 0.13$	7·91 <sup>a</sup> ±0·18	$7.70 \pm 0.07$
Non-protein N (mg N/g)	$7.76^a \pm 0.11$	$7.66^a \pm 0.10$	$7.18 \pm 0.12$	6·96±0·11	$7 \cdot 50 \pm 0 \cdot 10$	$7.84^b \pm 0.15$	$7.94^b \pm 0.08$	$8.10 \pm 0.09$
Total free amino acids (mg/g)	$38.3 \pm 2.01$	$37.0 \pm 1.71$	$33 \cdot 8^a \pm 2 \cdot 53$	$32 \cdot 3^a \pm 0.79$	$37.6^b \pm 0.49$	$37.6^b \pm 0.57$	$39.8^{\circ}\pm0.37$	$39{\cdot}2^c\pm0{\cdot}49$

Table 4. Parameters related to nitrogen fractions of eight brands of saucissons\*

\*Any two means followed by the same superscript letter are not significantly different at p > 0.01. All values refer to dry samples.

Table 5. Parameters related to functional properties of meat proteins of eight brands of saucissons\*

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Protein insolubility index (%)	$33 \cdot 8^a \pm 0 \cdot 24$	$35 \cdot 5^a \pm 0 \cdot 26$	$36 \cdot 0^b \pm 0 \cdot 16$	$37 \cdot 1^b \pm 0.32$	30·3±0·68	32·3±0·58	$33 \cdot 1^a \pm 0 \cdot 57$	33·8 <sup>a</sup> ±0·33
Sarcoplasmic protein solubility (%)	18·5 <sup>a</sup> ±0·76	18·4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> ±0·61	19·7 <sup>b</sup> ±0·63	19·3 <sup>b</sup> ±0·47	22·7±0·27	21.5±0.54	$18.4^{a}\pm0.37$	19·7 <sup>b</sup> ±0·26
Myofibrillar protein solubility (%)	$16.7^a \pm 0.38$	$17 \cdot 2^b \pm 0 \cdot 12$	16·1 <sup>a</sup> ±0·25	$16.7^{a} \pm 0.44$	$17 \cdot 4^b \pm 0 \cdot 20$	16·1 <sup>a</sup> ±0·17	17·1 <sup>b</sup> ±0·21	16·8 <sup>a</sup> ±0·16
Surface activity (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	$103 \cdot 2^a \pm 4 \cdot 82$	$102 \cdot 1^a \pm 4 \cdot 74$	$105 \cdot 8^a \pm 4 \cdot 16$	104·9 <sup>a</sup> ±5·19	$57 \cdot 3^b \pm 4 \cdot 75$	47·6±5·81	$53 \cdot 9^b \pm 3 \cdot 14$	$60.4^b \pm 6.84$

All values refer to dry samples.

tional properties of protein molecules (Nakai, 1983). Table 5 shows some parameters linked to the functional properties of meat proteins: protein insolubility index, sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein solubility, and surface activity of solubilized meat proteins. Although the percentages did not reflect great differences between the samples, surface activity was highly variable, with Brands 1 to 4 showing values twice as high as Brands 5 to 8.

Table 6 shows the parameters related to the lipid stability of saucisson: iodine value, acidity value, peroxide value, TBA value and carbonyl compounds index.

The iodine value did not show significant differences (p > 0.01) among the samples. The values obtained (64.0-69.6%) are similar to those reported for pork back fat by Flores *et al.* (1988) and for other sausages by Astiasarán *et al.* (1990b).

The variability in the acidity values (6.50-10.6 mg KOH/g fat) may be due to the large variation in the lipolytic processes (mainly of microbiological origin) during the curing of the sausages (Cantoni *et al.*, 1967). The values observed were higher than those published for other Spanish saucissons (León-Crespo & Millán, 1977) and for other types of Spanish sausages (Lois *et al.*, 1987; Melgar *et al.*, 1990*a,b*).

The oxidative process was evaluated by the peroxide value, TBA value and carbonyl compounds index. The

fatty peroxides are transformed into carbonyl compounds (Cerise *et al.*, 1973) or into malonaldehyde (MA) through the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids during the curing process (Pearson *et al.*, 1983). Brands 1 to 4 show higher values in all three indexes than the other brands, which may indicate greater fat oxidation in these brands.

The composition of free fatty acids is shown in Table 7. These results indicate a considerable variation in the oxidative processes which affect the free fatty acid composition and flavour (Mottram *et al.*, 1982). Multivariate statistical analysis shows a significant correlation between stearic and linoleic acids (r = -0.925). Furthermore, saturated fatty acids such as myristic and stearic, were also significantly correlated (r = +0.763) in all samples.

In summary, the brands with the lowest Micrococcaceae counts (5 and 6) had some common characteristics: higher pH, a greater residual nitrate content, higher protein solubility, and less lipolysis and a lower protein insolubility index. The presence of these microorganisms favours the formation of nitrosopigments. In Brands 4 and 7, with the lowest and highest moisture content, respectively, an inverse relationship was observed in some of the parameters. Brand 4, which had the lowest moisture content, had the lowest protein content, water activity and proteolysis,

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Iodine value (% I <sub>2</sub> )	$64 \cdot 8^a \pm 0 \cdot 82$	$64 \cdot 0^a \pm 0 \cdot 81$	$66 \cdot 7^a \pm 0 \cdot 54$	$66 \cdot 3^a \pm 0.43$	$65 \cdot 5^a \pm 0.48$	$66 \cdot 2^a \pm 0 \cdot 26$	$68 \cdot 5^a \pm 0.74$	69·6 <sup>a</sup> ±0·45
Acidity value (mg KOH/g fat)	$8.57^a \pm 0.52$	$7.70^a \pm 0.23$	$9.50^b \pm 1.96$	$10.6^b \pm 3.12$	$6.77^{\circ} \pm 0.37$	$6.50^{\circ} \pm 0.18$	$8.30^a \pm 0.24$	$8.39^a \pm 0.38$
Peroxide value (meg O <sub>2</sub> /kg fat)	$17.5^a \pm 1.89$	$18.7^a \pm 1.82$	$19.4^{a}\pm1.78$	$19 \cdot 2^a \pm 1 \cdot 95$	$14 \cdot 2^b \pm 1 \cdot 11$	$13.4^{b} \pm 1.96$	$10.5^{\circ} \pm 1.58$	$11.2^{\circ} \pm 1.05$
TBA value (mg MA/kg fat)	$35 \cdot 9^a \pm 0 \cdot 28$	$36 \cdot 1^a \pm 0.09$	$32.7\pm0.41$	$35.0 \pm 0.12$	$6.98\pm0.08$	$5.32\pm0.12$	$1.99^{b} \pm 0.09$	$2 \cdot 00^b \pm 0 \cdot 12$
Carbonyl compounds index (µmoles C=O/g f		43·7 <sup><i>a</i></sup> ±1·49	$40.6^b \pm 0.36$	$40.5^b \pm 0.57$	$35 \cdot 2^c \pm 0 \cdot 25$	$34 \cdot 3^c \pm 0 \cdot 30$	$33.7^d \pm 0.58$	$33 \cdot 6^d \pm 0 \cdot 41$

Table 6. Parameters related to fat stability of eight brands of saucissons\*

\*Any two means followed by the same superscript letter are not significantly different at p > 0.01.

Table 7. Free fatty acids composition of eight brands of saucissons\*

Parameter	Brand No. 1	Brand No. 2	Brand No. 3	Brand No. 4	Brand No. 5	Brand No. 6	Brand No. 7	Brand No. 8
Myristic 14:0 (% weight)	$2\cdot44\pm0\cdot06$	$2.21^{a}\pm0.05$	$2 \cdot 28^a \pm 0 \cdot 04$	$2.67 \pm 0.02$	$2 \cdot 83^b \pm 0 \cdot 08$	3·18±0·07	$2.89^b \pm 0.07$	$3.02\pm0.06$
Palmitic 16:0 (% weight)	$22 \cdot 9^a \pm 0 \cdot 23$	$23 \cdot 3^a \pm 0 \cdot 34$	$27.5\pm0.75$	$30.6\pm0.25$	$24.0^{b} \pm 0.04$	$24 \cdot 4^b \pm 0 \cdot 05$	$22 \cdot 7^a \pm 0 \cdot 19$	$22 \cdot 3^a \pm 0 \cdot 16$
Palmitoleic 16:1 (% weight)	$4.83^a \pm 0.18$	$4.93^{a}\pm0.19$	$4{\cdot}69^a\pm0{\cdot}32$	$5 \cdot 26 \pm 0 \cdot 36$	$5.18 \pm 0.13$	$5.23 \pm 0.10$	$4.00^{b} \pm 0.18$	$3.98^b \pm 0.14$
Stearic 18:0 (% weight)	$8.89^{a}\pm0.05$	$10.1^{b} \pm 0.07$	$16.5^{\circ}\pm0.27$	$17.4^{\circ} \pm 0.19$	$9.50^a \pm 0.77$	$9.21^{a}\pm0.17$	$9.84^a \pm 0.06$	$10.0^{b} \pm 0.07$
Oleic 18:1 (% weight)	$42 \cdot 6^a \pm 0 \cdot 26$	$43 \cdot 6^a \pm 0 \cdot 18$	$36.3 \pm 0.61$	$33.0 \pm 0.11$	$38.7^{b}\pm0.97$	$38 \cdot 6^b \pm 0 \cdot 26$	$40.6^{\circ} \pm 0.21$	$40.3^{\circ}\pm0.10$
Linoleic 18 : 2 (% weight)	$15 \cdot 4^a \pm 0 \cdot 20$	$13 \cdot 4^a \pm 0 \cdot 23$	$10.4 \pm 0.31$	$8.43 \pm 0.10$	$15 \cdot 5^a \pm 0.44$	$15.6^a \pm 0.12$	$16\cdot 2^a \pm 0\cdot 30$	$16.6^a \pm 0.10$
Linolenic 18:3 (% weight)	$1.00 \pm 0.03$	$0.73 \pm 0.07$	$0.77^a \pm 0.06$	$0.70^{a}\pm0.07$	$1.19 \pm 0.07$	$1.43 \pm 0.09$	$1.39 \pm 0.03$	$1.62 \pm 0.04$
Arachidic 20:0 (% weight)	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	$0.24\pm0.02$	$0.31^a \pm 0.02$	$0.36^b \pm 0.02$	$0.36^b \pm 0.04$	$0.30^a \pm 0.03$	$0.59 \pm 0.03$	$0.55 \pm 0.04$
Arachidonic 20:4 (% weight)	$0.29 \pm 0.03$	$0.24 \pm 0.05$	$0.22^a \pm 0.02$	$0.21^{a}\pm0.01$	$0.19 \pm 0.06$	$0.17 \pm 0.07$	$0.26^b \pm 0.03$	$0.25^b \pm 0.05$

and higher protein denaturation. Likewise, it had greater oxidation and lower levels of  $C_{18}$  unsaturated fatty acids.

proceso de fermentación del salchichón. Rev. Agroquim. Tecnol. Aliment., 28(4), 548-57.

# REFERENCES

- AOAC (1984). Salt (Chlorine as Sodium Chloride) in Meat. 24.010. In *Official Methods of Analysis*. 14th edn AOAC Inc., Arlington, p. 432.
- Astiasaran, I., Villanueva, R. & Bello, J. (1990a). Analysis of proteolysis and protein insolubility during the manufacture of some varieties of dry sausage. *Meat Sci.*, 28, 111–17.
- Astiasaran, I., Lizarraga, T., Melgar, J., Santamaria, I., Villanueva, R. & Bello, J. (1990b). Estudio comparativo de las características del chorizo según la tecnología de elaboración empleada. *Alimentaria*, **209**, 57–62.
- Bacus, J. M. & Brown, W. L. (1981). Use of microbial cultures: meat products. Food Technol., 35(1), 74-8.
- Bello, J., Larralde, J. & Saenz de Buruaga, M. I. (1974). Estudio de las modificaciones proteicas que tienen lugar durante la curación de algunos derivados cárnicos. I. Curación del chorizo tipo Pamplona. An. Bromatol., 21(3), 195-210.
- Bligh, E. G. & Dyer, W. J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem. Physiol., 37, 911.
- Cantoni, C., Molnar, M. R., Renon, P. & Giolitty, G. (1967). Lipolytic micrococci in pork fat. J. Appl. Bacteriol, 30, 190-6.
- Cerise, L., Bracco, V., Horman, I., Sozzi, T. & Wuhrmann, J. J. (1973). Veränderungen des Lipidanteils während des Reifungsprozesses von Salami aas reinem Schweinefleish. *Fleischwirtschaft*, **53**(2), 223–8.
- Flores, J., Nieto, P., Bermell, S. & Alberola, J. (1988). Cambio en los ácidos grasos de los lípidos del jamón durante el proceso de curado. II. Tejido adiposo subcutáneo. *Rev. Agroquim. Tecnol. Aliment.*, 28(1), 90–96.
- Garriga, M., Calsina, M. D. & Monfort, J. M. (1988a). Estudio de la proteolisis en la maduración de salchichones manufacturados con carne de porcino de buena calidad. *Invest. Agrar. Prod. Sanid. Anim.*, 3(1), 17–26.
- Garriga, M., Compte, M., Casademont, G. & Moreno-Amich, R. (1988b). Influencia de los carbohidratos en el

- Gorospe, O., Sanchez-Monge, J. M. & Bello, J. (1986). Eliminación de interferencias en el estudio químico del color de productos cárnicos comerciales, provocados por las sustancias colorantes presentes. *Alimentaria*, **156**, 25–32.
- Helander, E. (1957). On quantitative muscle protein determination. Sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein content of normal and skeletal muscle. *Acta Physiol. Scand.*, Suppl. 41, 141.
- Henick, A. S., Benca, M. F. & Mitchell, J. H. Jr (1954). Estimating carbonyl compounds in rancid fats and foods. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 31, 88–91.
- Hermansson, A. M., Harbitz, O. & Langton, M. (1986). Formation of two types of gels from bovine myosin. J. Sci. Food Agric., 37(1), 69-84.
- Hornsey, H. C. (1956). The colour of cooked cured pork. I. Estimation of the nitric oxide haem-pigments. J. Sci. Food Agric., 7, 534–40.
- ISO (1973a). Determination of Moisture Content, ISO 1442-1973. In International Standards Meat and Meat Products. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1973b). Determination of Total Fat Content, ISO 1443-1973. In *International Standards Meat and Meat Products*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1974). Measurement of the pH (Reference Method), ISO R 2917-1974. In *International Standards Meat and Meat Products*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1977). Determination of Peroxide Value, ISO 3960-1977. In International Standards Animal and Vegetable Oils and Fats. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1978a). Analysis by Gas-Liquid Chromatography of Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids, ISO 5508-1978. In International Standards Animal and Vegetable Oils and Fats. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1978b). Preparation of Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids, ISO 5509-1978. In *International Standards Animal and Vegetable Oils and Fats.* International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- ISO (1979). Determination of Iodine Value, ISO 3961-1979. In International Standards Animal and Vegetable Oils and Fats. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.

- ISO (1980). Determination of the Acid Value of the Fat (Reference method), ISO 1740-1980. In *International Standards Animal and Vegetable Oils and Fats.* International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- Klement, J. T. & Cassens, R. G. (1974). The effect of bacterial fermentation on protein solubility in a sausage model system. J. Food Sci., 39(4) 833—5.
- Kramlich, W. E., Pearson, A. M. & Tauber, F. W. (1973). Processed meat. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.
- León-Crespo, F. & Millan, R. (1977). Cambios químicos durante la maduración del salchichón. I. Alteraciones en la fracción lipídica. Arch. Zootec., 103, 293-301.
- León-Crespo, F. & Millan, R. (1978). Cambios químicos durante la maduración del salchichón. 2. Dinámica del nitrito y de los pigmentos cárnicos. Arch. Zootec., 105, 9–19.
- León-Crespo, F., Millan, R. & Serrano, A. (1978). Cambios químicos durante la maduracion del salchichón. 3. Modificaciones experimentadas por los compuestos nitrogenados solubles en agua. Arch. Zootec., 106, 105–16.
- Lois, A. L., Gutierrez, L. M., Zumalacarregi, J. M. & Lopez, A. (1987). Changes in several constituents during the ripening of 'chorizo'. A Spanish dry sausage. *Meat Sci.*, 19, 169–77.
- Melgar, J., Beriain, M. J. & Bello, J. (1990a). Estudio de las modificaciones de las características químicas de los lípidos neutros del salami durante el proceso de curación. *Rev. Agroquim. Tecnol. Aliment.*, 30(3), 363-70.
- Melgar, J., Sanchez-Monge, J. M. & Bello, J. (1990b). A study of the changes in the chemical properties of fat during ripening of dry Spanish sausage. J. Food Compos. Anal., 3, 73-80.
- Mottram, D. S. & Edwards, R. A. (1983). The role of triglycerides and phospholipids in the aroma of cooked beef. J. Sci. Food Agric., 34, 517-22.
- Mottram, D. S., Edwards, R. A. & MacFie, H. J. M. (1982). A comparison of the flavour volatiles from cooked beef and pork meat systems. J. Sci. Food Agric., 33(9), 934-44.
- Nakai, S. (1983). Structure-function relationships of food proteins with an emphasis on the importance of protein hydrophobicity. J. Agric. Food Chem., 31(4), 676-83.
- Nicholas, D. J. D. & Nason, A. (1957). Determination of nitrate and nitrite. *Methods Enzymol.*, 3, 981-4.
- Pan, B. S. & Solberg, M. (1972). The effect of pH on bovine oxymyoglobin structure and stability. J. Food Sci., 37, 29–32.

- Pearce, K. N. & Kinsella, J. E. (1978). Emulsifying properties of proteins: Evaluation of a turbidimetric technique. J. Agric. Food Chem., 26, 716–23.
- Pearson, A. M., Gray, J. I., Wolzak, A. M. & Horenstein, N. A. (1983). Safety implications of oxidized lipids in muscle foods. *Food Technol.*, 37(7), 121-9.
- Presidencia Del Gobierno (1979a). Métodos oficiales de análisis de productos cárnicos. Legislación alimentaria española. Boletín Oficial del Estado, No 207 del 29 de agosto de 1979.
- Presidencia Del Gobierno (1979b). Métodos oficiales de análisis de productos cárnicos. Legislación alimentaria española. *Boletín Oficial del Estado*, No. 208 del 30 de agosto de 1979.
- Presidencia Del Gobierno (1980). Normas de calidad para el salchichón. In Normas de calidad para productos cárnicos embutidos crudos-curados. Legislación alimentaria española. Boletín Oficial del Estado, No. 70 del 21 de marzo de 1980.
- Presidencia Del Gobierno (1982). Métodos oficiales de análisis de productos cárnicos. Legislación alimentaria española. *Boletín Oficial del Estado*, No. 17 del 20 de enero de 1982.
- Rosen, H. (1957). A modified ninhydrin colorimetric analysis of amino acids. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 67, 10-15.
- Santamaría, I., Lizarraga, T., Astiasarán, I. & Bello, J. (1992). Contribución al problema del desarrollo del color en el Chorizo de Pamplona: comportamiento de nitritos, nitratos y pigmentos cárnicos. *Alimentaria*, 229, 23-6.
- Tarladgis, B. G., Watts, B. M., Younathan, M. T. & Dugan, L. R. Jr (1960). Distillation method for the quantitative determination of malonaldehyde in rancid foods. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 37, 44-48.
- Tarladgis, B. G., Pearson, A. M. & Dugan, L. R. (1964). Chemistry of the 2-thiobarbituric acid test for determination of oxidative rancidity in foods. II. Formation of the TBA-Malonaldehyde complex without acid-heat treatment. J. Sci. Food Agric., 15, 602-7.
- Volff, M. R., Noyelle, G. & Graurrat, C. (1974). Determination of nitrates in foods. Ann. Falsif. Fraudes, 67, 599–608.
- Whiting, R. C. (1988). Ingredients and processing factors that control muscle protein functionality. Food Technol., 42(4), 104–14.